

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
1/07/2003	Carlos R. Corleto	COS-928	2841
06/12/2006		EXAM	INER
	-	AGRAWAL, CH	RISTOPHER K
		·	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4412		3726	
	11/07/2003 06/12/2006	06/12/2006 Carlos R. Corleto	11/07/2003 Carlos R. Corleto COS-928  06/12/2006 EXAM AGRAWAL, CH ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/703,977	CORLETO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher K. Agrawal	3726
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAR	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		-
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T     Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matter	·
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) 1-53 are subject to restriction and/o	drawn from consideration.	
<u> </u>		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to t  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	🗖	/lail Date
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/I Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	08) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/703,977 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-51, drawn to a devolatilizer nozzle and method of making a devolatilizer nozzle, classified in class 29, subclass 432 as well as class 159, subclass 45.
  - II. Claims 52-53, drawn to a method of processing polymer resins by devolatilizing, classified in class 203, subclass 88.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as product/method of making AND process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the devolatilizer nozzle can be used in a materially different process such as for spraying non-polymers (e.g. liquid metals, glass etc.).
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/703,977

Art Unit: 3726

5. Telephone calls were made to David Alexander (as directed by Christian Heisler) on May 30, 2006 thru June 1, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 3

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 7. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/703,977 Page 4

Art Unit: 3726

## Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher K. Agrawal whose telephone number is (571) 272-3578. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30AM-5:00PM.

- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CKA

DAVID P. BRYANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER